

want to do that easily or readily or quickly. And so yesterday I voted because I thought that probably was the best consensus. Senator Johnson has indicated from his remarks, and I am sorry you have a cold, Vard, indicated that there is apparently a number of other things involved, a number of policy decisions involved in this one affecting other legislation as well. As I was listening to you just speak now, and I had the motion written out, I decided to file it and ask that it be removed, that maybe that we ask the Revenue Committee to see if there is another way, a better alternative, than what is here being placed in law permanently for whenever there is an adjustment in the tax on cigarettes, and if that is removed, then hold the bill for a few days. It ought to be held even if you do not take this amendment off until we have a, I don't want to call it package, that may be misunderstood, but when you have several bills that affect tax policy kind of together so that we can evaluate them as a group rather than evaluate them individually. Because of those reasons, I would like to ask the Legislature to reconsider the adoption of that amendment and allow the Revenue Committee to evaluate if there are other alternatives that do not result, if I am correct, in the 250,000 which seems a little too much, the 250,000 to be necessary because of the complex problem that apparently does exist in the industry, that there must be a better way. So I would ask the Legislature to adopt the amendment. Incidentally, I did vote for it so I am eligible to make the motion, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. I have several lights on, and most of them came on before the reconsider motion came up. Senator Wesely, did you wish to speak on it? Okay, Senator Schmit, on the reconsideration, did you wish to speak about that?

SENATOR SCHMIT: Well, Mr. President and members, I rise in support of the motion to reconsider. I believe that Senator Warner has outlined the issues a little more clearly, perhaps, than I did yesterday when I spoke against the Vard Johnson amendment. I am also sorry that Vard has a cold. He is still pretty capable, notwithstanding that handicap, but I would like to just point out that something has happened overnight since the motion yesterday. I am sure that most of you are aware that the Revenue Committee has, as Senator Vard Johnson indicated, adopted a bit of a policy. Kind of interesting also yesterday in the Revenue Committee, I had two bills that provided, one a mandatory, one a voluntary, sales tax for counties. It is obvious, of course, that on this floor, and again I want to encourage the members to listen because I was visiting with some